

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 25, 2000 LB 120

those laws, for example, have changed our societal expectations. But, secondly, secondly, if the best interests of the child is to have two parents, then to allow one parent to escape that situation by asserting something that's contrary to the best interests of the child is not reasonable. In other words, you're rewarding a parent who says, I can't work with the other parent, and is therefore saying something that is contrary to the best interests of the child. It made me...the situation kind of made me think back this morning to that fabled judgment of Solomon the king. Do you remember when the two women came forward and there was a baby and they disputed whose baby it was? And Solomon said, thinking wisely, let's see who really has the best interests of the child in mind. Said wisely, I'll cut the baby in two. And he did discover who had the best interests of the child in mind. Maybe what we should do with judges in this situation today, maybe they should ask both parents, can you work together, can you work together and apply an equal kind of discerning of responses to see who has the best interests of the child in mind? But, in any event, I would hope the response would be positive from both that they can work together. And, in any event, you should not empower one to effectively, under the law,...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...control the decision when in doing so that person who controls the decision is asserting something that's against the best interests of the child, against having both parents involved in the child's life to the maximum possible. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Chambers, on the committee amendment to LB 120.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, this amendment does go into the green copy of the bill. So I'm going to touch on one thing which is in the green copy, new language they are putting in. On page 3 of the green copy, starting in line 11, "if joint custody is ordered, each parent shall have equal rights to make decisions in the best interests of the minor child in his or her custody". Now, if there is a dispute, since they have equal rights, how are they going to