

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 25, 2000 LB 120

well, on the amendment, which is an extension of this. One of the questions I had, in the current statute we have a provision for joint custody but the wording says that when both parents agree to such arrangements. That wording is now gone with this bill. And I have a great deal of concern where you have parents who are not wanting to share custody, being forced into a situation where they in fact will probably use that child more as a pawn. If you've ever been involved in any work with youth, you know that, with the divided homes that we have today, that many times you're trying to get a permission slip and you need that custodial parent to sign it. When we have an equal sharing of that custody, what do schools do on a field trip when one parent says, yes, they can go, and the other parent says, no, they can't? What provisions do we have in here for the schedule of a child and the concerns of a child? We're talking about the concerns of a parent's schedule, and I think we need to take that into consideration. But I've also seen children who couldn't go to that very, very important birthday party, or they couldn't go to camp with the Boy Scouts or the Girl Scouts because it was the weekend for the noncustodial parent to have them. And I've seen children's hearts who truly have been broken because they couldn't spend the summer with their friends because they had to go to another state to be with the noncustodial parent. I think that, as we look at these issues, I think we have to look at the wording that is currently in statute and say it is very important that both parents agree to this arrangement, so that they will be looking at the best interests of the child in their home and in their decisions as they make decisions on where a child will be when and how they work out visitation. Right now, many times, we see parents who truly have not been that interested in the home, but they are so jealous of the little, limited time that they have with the child that they're not concerned about the happiness of the child. And so, Senator Crosby, I don't have a lot of time left, but I would like to give it to you, if you could perhaps address why you are taking out that wording on both agreeing.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Crosby.

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Brashear will answer, Mr. President.

SENATOR REDFIELD: All right, thank you.