

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 21, 2000 LB 182

part of your remark, but let me say this which I intended to say to Senator Bromm earlier.

SENATOR STUHR: Okay.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Today is the first time I've heard that particular argument, but to the extent that you are a substantial portion of this body, or even one individual, one other individual should think that it's inappropriate, I would certainly be glad on Select File to remove it. I don't...it's not important from my perspective.

SENATOR STUHR: Well, that's what I'm wondering if actually we're getting down to the question of whether boards, you know, should adopt a policy, that that seems to be the meat of this whole discussion, and that I would very much want to look at some of these sections that could be removed to make that...to give local boards...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR STUHR: ...more freedom in writing their publication codes. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Stuhr. Senator Dierks, on the first part of the divided Beutler amendment to the committee amendments to LB 182.

SENATOR DIERKS: Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Legislature. I just have watched and listened to the debate this morning and I've...I think I have a little bit different approach to it. I was a school board member for a number of years at Ewing and I can tell you that if we had placed some restrictions on our students that was not in place at the school districts in Clearwater and Orchard, we would have had a tremendous amount of pressure put on to free up those pressures on our students. I think the same thing can happen with this legislation. It looks like the beginning of somewhat of a slippery slope to me. You're leaving it in the power of the school boards to control but one school board will be pitted against the other and I have difficulties with that. I guess I don't see any problems as it exists today with the publications