

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 21, 2000 LB 182

and Bromm. Senator Bohlke. Senator Bohlke waives off. Senator Raikes. Senator Raikes waives off. Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: I may ask Senator Beutler to respond to this, but I was rolling right along, not paying too much attention, and then this morning I had three phone calls, from school superintendents, asking me to oppose this legislation. So, but one of them was kind of interesting. He's the new superintendent at Blue Hill, and he was at New Castle before that time. And he said in a student publication there they printed directions on how to make a spud gun. And it did cause some controversy. Now I don't know how many of you are familiar with a potato gun, I happen to be familiar with it, so I found it a little amusing this morning. A potato gun is something made out PVC pipe, and it has a canister, about a half a gallon in size, and at least my son used hair spray for a propellant. You fill that canister full of hair spray and you seal it up, and then you have an igniter, and I guarantee you, you can shoot a potato probably a block and a half long. I know from my front step to the mailbox is over a block long, and that potato would go way over a block long. Well, this caused concerns in the community of New Castle about what was being printed in the school newspaper. Senator Beutler, would you just respond how this bill would address an issue like that?

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Beutler, would you yield?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes. Senator, I think there have to be a couple of different answers to the question. First of all, the school would have the right to make its own publications code. It could use language that would clearly encompass that kind of situation and prohibit it. It could use broader language that would probably prohibit it, that could be established by principle. In fact, I think the language in the bill that happens to apply where you don't have a code probably would cover that situation, because you would not be able to publish things that are demonstrably likely to insight violence, lawbreaking, or substantial and material disruption of the normal functions of a school. So I don't think that situation would be difficult to cover under a code. And, even if they didn't have a code, I think that situation is probably covered under the statutory standards that are here. But, I guess the