

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 20, 2000 LB 289

are not zoned without coming down to the Secretary of State. But I think it could use a lot between counties, if some county is in the process of zoning, they could come to one location and find out what other counties are doing, what regulations have been working for them in their zoning procedure and adopt some of those, from just one, central location, rather than having to contact each county. I think it simplifies it and I think it would be used more by smaller operations and by the counties than it would be by mega hog operations. I don't think that probably answers or satisfies your questions, but I think there's another side to it. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES: Mr. President, members of the body, I just want to stand and say that I'm in support of this. I was in a forum in Broken Bow, Monday. And that county has been working on its zoning for pretty near two years. And the man that they hired, consultant, giving about \$15,000 to hire him, did a lot of work on it, and he brought a document of 100 pages to that forum. And there is a local attorney and my neighbor worked on that for pretty near 30 hours to try to decipher it. And they said that every page was flawed on that thing. And if they could have just called in to see what other counties had done down here and gotten that document, I think they would have been a lot farther ahead because I know there are a lot of other counties that are probably in the same position that Custer County was. In fact he told us a lot of other counties had the same document. But the message that he left there with and sent to them, they said we want zoning in this county, but we want it short and to the point and only 10, 12 pages, in place of 100 pages, so that we can all understand it. And I think this is more benefit for the counties than it is for any big operation trying to get around thing, because they've got to go to DEQ for their regulations on Title 130. So I think that I'm going to support this because I think that after what I seen what happened Monday, now this Planning Commission, the nine of them was all there. They're going to have to go back to square one and start all over again because I think there was 200 people showed up there, and every one of them was against the 100 page document that they had, simply because they had so many regulations in it that you couldn't even keep up with what was in it. All the set backs