

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 19, 2000 LB 153

but, Senator Brashear, does the committee amendment, you think, or do we know if it has any impact on the fiscal note?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: To my knowledge, it has no impact on the fiscal note, Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Is it the intent that after the committee amendment, the study would be conducted basically the same, in the same fashion, using the same personnel, as it would have been under the original bill except, except as you've pointed out, the change in the composition of perhaps the study group and who selects them?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, it is the intent that it would be conducted in that way with the exceptions I noted as being the basis for the committee amendment.

SENATOR BROMM: And I...I have the committee amendment on the screen but I don't have it in front of me as I'm speaking on the microphone. Does the executive branch have any representation or input on that task force after the committee amendment?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Well, some people would suggest...I'm trying to answer that quickly, Senator Bromm, I think some people would suggest that if we put the State Troopers' Association in on the amendment, which I understand Senator Thompson contemplates, if we reinsert the State Troopers' representative, that is a portion of the executive branch of government. So there is at least that representation.

SENATOR BROMM: So that would be...

SENATOR BRASHEAR: And the State Patrol, itself, has a representative.

SENATOR BROMM: Okay, all right. Well, this is General File and I don't have any amendments at this point, but I think if we're going to do this, and it is only...it is \$37,000, we want to be sure, I think, that we have the best group together possible to do this, and the Governor has to work very, very closely with the State Patrol. And as you know, we do have a proposition we were advised of in the State of the State Address to look at