

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 18, 2000 LB 750

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, so I can speak. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I got a clarification from Senator Smith. He said he is not of the belief that restaurants will lose money; it's not a money issue with him but rather a matter of civil liberties. And I told him he gave me a headache with that answer. (Laugh) Mr. Smith probably needs a Mrs. Smith to pound some sense into his head, not literally. In either case, Brother Smith, I don't understand what the difficulty in accepting this bill is. I've listened to everything that has been said by those who have some concern about the bill, and none of it rises to the level of a justification to oppose the bill. First of all, I have yet to hear any of those, who say they oppose this bill, say that the public interest is served by killing this bill. Not one of them, even Senator "Hopscotch" Hilgert has suggested that secondhand tobacco smoke is good or that it's not harmful. He didn't argue that. Senator Smith didn't argue any of those things. They were probably told that the only plausible appearance of an argument would be to say that you're infringing on a person's right to run his or her business the way that person chooses. But that's done all the time. Nobody owns property absolutely in this society. They conditionally own it and the government, under certain circumstances, can take it all together. We often hear people say, one individual's rights will extend to the point where another's begins. And it is past the point where I think anybody would say that cigarette smoke is not harmful; we know that it is. Maybe what Senator Smith should argue against me is that it's none of my business whether or not families have a place where they can go eat. They're not my family. Now I don't think it's a valid argument, but maybe that's the one he ought to give, that I'm overstepping my bounds by saying I have any concern about what might be available to families in the way of family entertainment and enjoyment. And I would simply tell him his argument lacks validity. Senator Smith, your argument and that of your colleagues reminds me of something Abraham Lincoln said, I think Lincoln said it. People always pay more attention if you say Lincoln said something. It is as strong as soup made by the shadow of an undernourished pigeon, that's how strong the argument is. Or I should turn it around, it's as weak as that kind of soup. I'd like to ask Senator Smith a question, because I don't have a lot of time left.