

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 18, 2000 LB 750

who need to work there out of necessity. I see it as a workplace health issue in that regard because for the time that those workers feel compelled, by virtue of the fact that they need to make an income to support their families, they are exposing themselves to something that we, from all of the medical literature, the research, the body of information clearly points out that there's nothing healthy about smoke, cigarette smoke, whether it's direct or whether it's secondhand. That is a problem for those workers that I think we have an opportunity to help to address. The other issue is, and I don't want to do that at the expense of the restaurant industry, and yet, from the body of data that we have been given, it shows that the restaurant industry is actually helped by not having smoking in their establishments. If they are helped and if business is actually enhanced, and perhaps that's because three-fourths of the population does not smoke. So the clear majority would not choose to smoke. They would likely also prefer to eat in a smoke-free establishment. That would likely be a reason for the restaurateurs themselves to look at it more seriously, but for the state to do something to protect their health. I frequently eat in a restaurant, McFoster's, simply because it's totally banned smoking. They don't allow smoking anywhere. They also have whole foods and natural foods and organically produced foods. For me, that's a very good combination, and I prefer to go there. There are times when I go to other restaurants to eat because it's not everybody who wants to eat at McFoster's, as I do, and I go with family and friends because they have other dietary preferences. And when I'm there I'm there because I want the company of those people, but I would prefer not to have to be subjected to the cigarette smoke that's in those places. Unfortunately, having a designated smoking area and a nonsmoking area really doesn't separate the smoke. Smoke doesn't abide by those arbitrary barriers. Smoke goes everywhere in the facility and, for those of us who choose not to, for those people who have more sensitivity to smoke, it infringes on their health and on their enjoyment of that dining experience. Those folks, too, may be in some of those eating establishments where they would prefer to go to that particular place, but they would also prefer not to be subjected to having to breathe smoke. It seems a reasonable request that someone who does smoke could forego that for...