

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

January 18, 2000 LB 383

difficulty focusing upon it, the one nice thing, quote, nice thing it appears to do is to make this program available to nonresidents. Well, that Senator Wickersham was very up front. He only did that because it's unconstitutional to do it the way we're doing it. But to say that it is not available to the surviving spouse, and to say it is not available to the children, there is no reason for that. I urge you not to do that negative thing. I further urge you not to join Senator Wickersham in restricting this to one election in one year only, because the way business is done, if you take into account mergers, if you take into account Rule 144 and how you comply with that, that's a rule that the Securities and Exchange Commission with regard to the disposal of publicly traded stock, there will be no opportunity to comply, and once again we'll say to people, stay here the first year after you sell, get the benefit that we contracted with you for in good faith 12 years ago, and then move someplace where there's no income tax, and you can get the rest of what we once gave you but now we've decided to take away so you can't have it. I urge that we not engage in this exercise, that we not inject this instability...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: One minute.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: ...into our tax policy, that we defeat the Wickersham amendment to the amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Kristensen, members of the Legislature, you can never be sure in this kind of a situation to which argument to give the greater weight, being in the dilemma that I described earlier. But one of the things that disturbs me about the way the whole debate goes is that everybody seems to recognize that a by-product of competing with the other states is the enhancement of incentives to the people who run the corporations and run the system, and that that enhancement of incentives, as is becoming clear with the national statistics, is contributing to a continuing disparity in income among the population. So what are we allowed to do about it? How can we approach that problem? We can't, as was argued this morning, do away with the incentives, because then we hurt ourselves with the other states, our economy goes down,