

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

January 18, 2000 LB 383

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute, Senator, one minute. One more minute.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: One minute. Thank you. I'd forgotten we get a one minute warning. I would dispute the esteemed Chairman's figures. The Department of Revenue tells us, in a report they're required by law to publish, that this targeted capital gains exclusion costs \$8.65 million. Now just to tickle your taste buds for the fight to come, I would like to suggest to you that in the same period, in fact in a shorter period than we've had this targeted capital gains exclusion, our income tax collections have gone from \$348 million to \$984 million. We finally got it right, that's a tripling of our income tax collections, that's a 182 percent increase.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator. Thank you. Senator Coordsen, on the Wickersham amendment to the committee amendments.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. I'm sitting here looking at Senator Landis, and Senator Landis doesn't know I'm looking at Senator Landis, and the reason I'm looking at Senator Landis and then Senator Hartnett and Senator Wehrbein, and there's a few around who were here when this issue was first addressed in the body. I recall sitting on the back row, I think where Senator Brown currently is, as a newly elected member of the Legislature, when the LB 775 package of bills was introduced and debated here on the floor of the Legislature. And I'm only going to speak once on this issue. But I wanted to share with you that the reason that I felt, as a new member, unable to support the LB 775 package didn't have anything to do with the bill number, LB 775, itself, because that essentially recognized the competition between the states that were going on and provided rewards for investment and reward for employment, nor did I take serious objection to the preferential tax treatment for personal property when used in certain industries. When we came to the final vote, as a matter of fact the question was divided at one point in time, and I recall voting for the other pieces of the package, but not for this piece of the package. And the reason I didn't vote for this piece of the package was simply a closely held belief that when you're in business of developing tax policy for all of the