

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 18, 2000 LB 383

available to nonresidents as well as residents, and the amendment cures that defect. The other thing that the amendment to the amendment does is propose to you a 10 percent across-the-board capital gains exclusion. Now 10 percent I thought was a modest number, and I put it into the amendment so that we could begin talking about this issue. Senator Brashear has filed several amendments asking that we keep the existing structure in place and we simply have across-the-board capital gains exclusions. I don't think, quite candidly, not to start arguing Senator Brashear's amendments at the moment, that that will work, because the fiscal impact to the state is severe. I would anticipate, however, that if we had a 10 percent exclusion and we passed LB 383, that it could very well be revenue neutral or even be a revenue enhancer. If we had a fiscal note that indicated that it was a revenue enhancer, I would certainly, on Select File, ask you to increase the exclusion to a point where we thought LB 383 was revenue neutral. I just can't tell you where that point is now. How could we make LB 383 revenue neutral, you say. You, just a few minutes ago, told me that it had a \$41 million impact, negative impact on state revenues. Well, that was at a 50 percent exclusion. At a 10 percent exclusion it could be revenue neutral. But what would we be doing as a matter of state policy? As a matter of state policy we would be making some reductions in the persons who are eligible for the 100 percent exclusion, not eliminating it entirely. We would be making some adjustments in that program. There would still be people eligible for 100 percent exclusion, just not as many. And at the same time we would extend a broad-based capital gains exclusion to all the taxpayers in the state of Nebraska, which in the long run I, obviously, believe is far better policy. I see no reason for the state of Nebraska to participate in compensation packages for individuals in certain corporations in the state of Nebraska. Our interest is in all the citizens of the state of Nebraska, and fairness and equity in our income tax structure. So I...with that, Mr. Speaker, I would entertain questions, if there are any, about the bill in its general context, or certainly in the context of the amendment to the amendment, which again I will propose to you is either revenue neutral, would make the bill revenue neutral if adopted, or perhaps even with a slight revenue enhancement impact, although we'll hear arguments that that isn't possible because people would flee the state of