

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 12, 2000 LB 505

it's...he may have gotten this from Senator Chambers, I don't know, but change it to the name of "Netaxa" instead of Nebraska. And this went on and on. And I guess the bottom line for me is this. I think that there's been significant evidence presented that we need to do everything we can to reduce smoking, especially amongst young people. The second issue, however, that looms on the horizon for me is the horrendous large amount of money that we're going to be receiving as a result of the tobacco lawsuits. The third item is education must occur, and for my part until we have used the resources that we're going to get as effectively as possible to enact this education, I have a hard time adding to the reputation of Nebraska as being "Netaxa". And that's just where I'm at. I...the medical people carry great weight with me. I'm having a very hard time with this, and I believe I can stand here and say that were it not for the money that we're getting and that I think we can use, if we discipline ourselves to use it for education, I would be voting for this as the best and perhaps only way to reduce teenage smoking. But for my part right now, I'm going to concentrate on utilizing that money, see what effect it has. This may be successful. Senator Landis has done a tremendous job with this. It may not. If it isn't and if our other efforts are not successful, there will be another day and I hope I'll have another opportunity to cast another vote if I'm around at the time, so thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Senator Landis, you're recognized to close on AM2018 to LB 505.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature. You've given me ample amount of time and attention. I'm grateful for that. To Senator Bromm, who is wrestling with this problem, I'd have to say education, prevention and cessation are half but not all of the solution. Teenagers are price-sensitive. That is what the overwhelming evidence tells us. And part of the way to make this work is to, in fact, raise the price of cigarettes. That's actually part of the solution. If you don't raise the price of tobacco, you don't get the effect that the National Academy of Sciences says is there; that the Center for Disease Control says is there; that the consumption drops in Oregon, Alaska, Maryland, at least projected, New Jersey...as I said Oregon, Florida, Maine,