

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 12, 2000 LB 505

Coordsen.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Call the question.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Would the...would the Chair rule as to whether we've had sufficient debate. In my eyes, we haven't but the Chair may have their own view here.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Landis, I do believe that there has been a full and fair debate due to the complexity of the subject matter. And so do I see five hands? Raise them high, please. I do not see five hands. The next speaker is Senator Kremer.

SENATOR KREMER: Thank you, Mr. Lieutenant Governor. I would like to yield my time to Senator Landis.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you, Senator Kremer. A speech that good reminds me I always want to be on Pam Redfield's side of an issue from now on out. That was terrific stuff. Look, two things with respect to whether or not this is going to affect real consumption patterns and real choices to smoke or not smoke. Senator Janssen, Senator Baker, Senator Engel have basically said pass this and you are going to do nothing except to slow down sales in Nebraska because they are going to go someplace else; it's not really going to affect smoking. Now the statistics I gave you from Massachusetts was a survey of who actually smoked, not just consumption but who started to smoke. There is another body that has to do with that one as well, but before I go on to that body of evidence I want you to ask yourself this. I want you to ask yourself why an industry, who has \$70 million to lobby Congress last year, who has \$20 billion to spend on advertising in a year, who has checks for a good many of us of about \$750 (sic) before this session began, doesn't have the money necessary to do a study to prove the argument Senator Baker, Senator Engel, and Senator Janssen just made, because if it was true, money should be able to find that out. And, believe me, they don't lack for money. If there was a way of proving Senator Baker's point, which he