

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 10, 2000

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Members of the Legislature, I think...I think we're at the end of the day and I think that there has been enough discussion of this for those of us on the Rules Committee to know that we need to resurvey you and see what the thinking is so that we don't go about wasting a lot of your time if there's no version of this that you're interested in. I mean, I think the whole objective was you had asked for the Rules Committee to solve the Judiciary Committee problem. We have come up with a solution. There are several variations of this, one or two of which you might find acceptable, and I think we need to explore that somewhat. So, I don't know what time the Speaker wants to adjourn, I think it's...I think that he had indicated some time around four o'clock or so, so whenever that time comes we will make use of the interim to seek your guidance so that we don't unnecessarily prolong this debate tomorrow. Having said that, I think that the point that we're at now, unless Senator Chambers has further amendments, is to vote up or down on the central concept of the bill, and then, if there are other aspects of that central question that you want to see decided in one way or another, we need to explore that with you ahead of time. There's another aspect to the bill that has to do with vote trading that I think you're all aware of. I think you're all aware of the situation that has long existed in the Committee on Committees whereby the three caucuses, when there is general agreement, will allow individuals to occupy the slot of another caucus on a particular committee if everyone is agreeable to the trade. And that practice, under this set of proposals that has been brought to you, would be partially curtailed. Well, it may be that you don't want it curtailed, in which case we need to explore that possibility with you. I would...I would think that would be a point of obvious interest to everyone and hopefully we can all be candid with one another in how we feel about it. But I do hope that you all are interested in struggling to some kind of reasonable solution on this particular problem, because we have not yet solved the Judiciary problem and, talking to certain members of the Executive Board, they are, frankly, skeptical as to whether there's any Referencing Committee action that could be significant enough to have any impact on this problem, so you're not going to get a Referencing Committee solution. You're not going to get bailed out of this problem. It's going to have to