

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

January 10, 2000

way I see it is that this package offered by the Rules Committee has to be defeated. But what I'm doing here is following their philosophy. Senator Thompson said that the Ag Committee or whatever committee it was had 15 on the average and Urban Affairs 36, or whatever that other committee was. If you put them together, then this committee I'm talking about has 50 bills. Is that too many bills for them to handle? So accept my amendment here and create a Business, Labor and Urban Affairs Committee with 15 members.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Chambers, you're recognized to close.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President. Members of the Legislature, again, I'm talking numbers, although some of those who were in favor of this whole thing said it's not a numbers game. That's what one of the defenders said, it's not a numbers game, but that's what we continue to hear--the number of bills. Now, the Ag Committee had a bill that related to a livestock question. The committee room was full to overflowing. There was a television set set up in at least one other room, maybe more. That room was overflowing, and the hearing lasted for what seemed to be an interminable number of hours, so the Judiciary Committee is not the only one that has long hearings. And these issues that we deal with are of great importance. There will come other times during the session when my colleagues, some of them, will bring bills before us saying the ag sector needs consideration and that's why these bills are being offered and a majority of you-all ought to support them. There will be bills dealing with the rural sector and we'll get the same argument. But while we're here dealing with these committees, the Ag Committee is to be swept away and I do not think that ought to happen in an agricultural state. So the people on the Rules Committee, who thought this was a slam dunk and thought they had it locked up, perhaps are coming to a realization that I came to a long, long time ago--counting votes in advance is more precarious than counting chickens before they're hatched when you don't even have a laying hen. But that's what they did. They wanted to put the best construction on what was presented, just like my good friend, Senator Byars, has been doing with his seat belt bill--trying to put the best construction on that, hoping against hope that he's got a