

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 10, 2000

combining of committees as we're doing is the only real way you can solve that problem, and I'll try to talk about that more later. But Senator Chambers indicated earlier how much trash goes through the Judiciary Committee, trash, trash, trash. Well, I was Chair of the Judiciary Committee once, and I don't think that committee has anymore trash than any other committee. I would also suggest to you that one person's trash is another person's antique, that some people (laughter) that some people find something useful and something meaningful and something valuable in ideas and concepts that you and I might not so believe. And maybe a senior senator, with all his philosophy and knowledge, can dismiss half of what comes through. But what about the new senators? Don't they deserve the time and the opportunity to learn about the issue and make their own decisions about it? Or do they just have to take the advice of the senior citizens that all this stuff in this pile is trash and forget about it? And what about the nonlawyers on the Judiciary Committee? If you wanted to talk about a problem that's unique to the Judiciary Committee, it's *res ipsa loquitur* and...and *stare decisis* and all those Latin names and the legalisms that the nonlawyers on that committee have a heck of a time with, understandably. They are called upon to learn more than any other new member of any other committee is called upon to learn, because they're missing a whole three years of law school, and that committee deals with the judiciary, it deals with all legal processes. Talk about arcane and complex issues, that committee deals with them. So it's not that their issues are easier, in fact in a lot of ways they're more difficult and there are a lot more reasons why their workload should be at least even, maybe even somewhat less than those of the other committees. And it's suggested that the reintroduction of bills is a sign of all the trash, that when a bill has been introduced two or three or four times, that's trash. But most of us who have been around know that any bill that really changes anything often takes two and three and four years. And, in fact, some of the most important bills take a lot longer than that. What's the bill that's been reintroduced in Judiciary the most times, historically, ever? The death penalty bill. And I doubt if you, or I, or anyone in here would call that trash, trash legislation. It's an important issue,...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.