

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 10, 2000

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Well, I, first of all, I'm going to say I would hope that we're realistically dealing with it very fairly and efficiently, Senator Beutler, because when you talk about coming from Scottsbluff and so on you are talking about, and I will get to the substance of your concern, you are talking about one time when we did, in order to move 32 or 34 bills, we did have what we called a "consent calendar" in the Judiciary Committee, and each sponsor was asked whether they wanted to wait for us to get to their bill in the ordinary course, scheduling as we were, or if they would agree to a "consent calendar", and I do believe in that instance that day, and I recall specifically that you had a bill, you and all other sponsors consented to it and we did allow something like...we had to hear every bill, we heard 32 bills that afternoon and we had to hear every bill in 10 minutes or something like that, as we projected, exclusive of questions and answers, and we allowed one minute to open, one minute for testimony and one minute to close, or something like that. But that's not our normal rule. Our usual procedure is five minutes to open, three minutes per testifier,...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: ...and three minutes to close, and that is, as I always stress, exclusive of questions and answers. Is that responsive to your question, Senator Beutler?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes, I think so. And when you have bills where people are coming from long distances and they have four or five or six minutes of testimony, that's not a whole lot. And if it's on a subject that is new and interesting, if you contrast that testimony, for example, to what's allowed in all other committees, you-all are aware of what we do in other committees, don't we give a fuller and fairer debate? And why would...why would we create a structure where bills in Judiciary, whatever they may be, by the accident of their subject matter, get less attention than bills that go to any other committee? That's the reason, as far as I can tell, of balancing the workload.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Time.