

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 10, 2000

change the number of committee members so that it works. As the Chair of the Exec Board was just mentioning, to me it is necessary that all people have a committee assignment each day of the week and so, if we adopt the amendment, if we're going to approve the rest of the rule proposal, we got to remember to go back and change this "eight" to "nine". But, at the present time, I support the amendment to the amendment. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, Mr. President, members of the body, I...I'm not going to speak immediately on this amendment. I would like to ask Senator Hudkins a question, if I may, on the...the whole package.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Hudkins, would you yield to Senator Wehrbein?

SENATOR HUDKINS: Yes.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: This is not directly on this amendment, Senator Hudkins, but I...it does have reference to the whole thing. Did you seriously consider, instead of this package that you have proposed, to just simply expand the scope of what Agriculture Committee could cover? In other words...in other words, to relieve the load by adding to the Agriculture Committee's bills subject matter and...and, in other words, maybe look at it that way?

SENATOR HUDKINS: Senator Wehrbein, we did not look at expanding what Agriculture Committee would be dealing with in its committee. We just dealt with what you see here. But if that is a viable suggestion, of course, we would be open to that. The problem is, well, one of many, but Agriculture Committee does not have a lot of bills and the reason being that right now it seems like only production agriculture bills go to Agriculture Committee, and if we could expand that to include other areas, then perhaps that would even out the load for Agriculture. But I would hesitate to say that we could probably expand it enough to get some of the bills that are presently going to Judiciary.