

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 10, 2000

to start from the tail and say we're going to decide how many people are on these committees and work it so that they won't conflict, that makes no sense. We can go to the animal kingdom and study the creatures that I love, and probably nobody else does, serpents, those that swallow their food. They do not start swallowing from the tail end. They always start with the head, I don't care what they're swallowing. If they're swallowing a gerbil, if they're swallowing another snake, or, Senator Cudaback, if it happens to be one of our friends in the Amazon large enough to swallow you, they all start head first. So it seems that sometimes we can take a lesson from the way nature has structured things and, to my way of thinking, with which maybe nobody else agrees, we ought to start at the head end and not the tail end. There was a priest teaching some young boys philosophy and he asked the question, students, what is man's most important end, and one little boy stood up and said, the end that has the head on it. Well, you-all don't get philosophical questions, but those who might read the transcript will get the significance of that question and the answer. Right now, the only thing that my amendment that I'm offering will do is to strike the number "nine" and insert the number "eight". That would leave us with a vote only on changing the name of the Transportation Committee to Transportation, et cetera.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Chambers, your light is on next. Senator Chambers waives. Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Mr. Lieutenant Governor, and, Senator Chambers, thanks for pointing out the...the way this amendment would be interpreted. Talking to some of the other members, I think there was not a clear understanding on what we were doing, at least there wasn't on my part. And so with the Chambers amendment we would only change the name of the committee and there would be no other substantive change, like in the numbers of the committee members, and I...I think at this point, not knowing what's going to happen with the rest of it, I would support that. I would support the Chambers amendment to the amendment. If this amendment is adopted and if subsequent provisions of the rule proposal are adopted, we'll have to remember to go back and amend this section to make sure that we