

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 21, 1999

LB 881

\$18 million sitting there that can be used for that purpose which is still in the category of General Funds, because we haven't taken it out of General Funds. It's extra money in the General Funds. We have that. Now, I'd ask you to look at Section 5 of the bill. Section 5 of the bill essentially says that for the next two years, as we fund state aid to education, if those estimates...if the money that the Appropriations Committee has set aside for that is in excess of what we will actually need, that difference too is being put into a fund which can be used to reduce the state aid...to reduce the levy drop from \$1.10 to \$1.00. It is anticipated by most people who have analyzed it, that that money that the Appropriations Committee has put aside will be excessive, because of the pressure on valuations in Douglas County and elsewhere in the state will raise the available resources under the formula and decrease the amount of state aid. The figure that has been agreed...that has been stated by people in more than one branch of government is that that figure may be about \$20 million. So if you have the Appropriations Committee, which has put the whole amount there and said, we think it's going to be there; and if you have \$18 million sitting in General Funds extra; and if you have \$20 million in state aid the next two years that you're probably not going to use, why would you transfer out of the Cash Reserve Fund, which is to be there for a rainy day...why would you transfer that money out now? You can transfer it out then if all three of these mechanisms are not adequate. But I'd be willing to put my career on the line that they will be adequate. So the money is probably not needed. Very, very strong evidence that the transfer out of the Cash Reserve Fund is not needed. Secondly, it strengthens, actually...this amendment strengthens the commitment to dropping the state aid from \$1.10 to \$1.00, because it states the intent of the Legislature to drop...to transfer whatever money is necessary. So in that sense, it is a stronger statement than is the statement that is presently in the committee bill. And third, let me say that it avoids bad policy. It avoids an unprecedented bad policy. I don't think that anybody will be able to stand up on the floor and ever tell you...or can tell you even one instance in the last quarter century, or since we've had the Reserve Fund, when money has been taken out of the Reserve Fund in advance to fund a speculative need three years in the future, or two years in the future. We don't do that.