

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 20, 1999

LB 829, 835

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with, the question is, shall LB 829 pass? All those in favor vote aye; all those opposed vote nay. Have you all voted? Record.

ASSISTANT CLERK: (Record vote read, Legislative Journal pages 2326-2327.) The vote is 45 ayes, 1 nay, and 3 present and not voting, Mr. President.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: LB 829 passes. LB 835.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Dierks would move to return this bill to Select File.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Dierks, you're recognized to open on your motion to return to Select File for specific amendment.

SENATOR DIERKS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature. I had received a few questions about some provisions of the bill, and I wanted to make it clear for the record, an answer for those particular questions. And it'll just take a little moment here, and then I'll withdraw the amendment...or, the bill...or, the motion. I was asked to report about a specific situation where a packer has already purchased the maximum number of hogs required for the day's production. The question is, if a hog producer brings in a load of hogs, would the packer be required to purchase the hogs? There's nothing in the bill that makes it unlawful for a packer to refuse to do business with any hog producer in the cash market. And to carry that then a step farther, the bill does not prevent packers from offering a different price on succeeding days. It even provides that they can offer a different price on the same day in reaction to market changes. I wanted that on the record. And with that, I'll withdraw the motion. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: It is withdrawn.

CLERK: (Read LB 835 on Final Reading.)

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with, the question is, shall LB 835, with