

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 20, 1999

LB 779

else will have their light on and explain this a little further. Thank you, Senator Baker, and with that, I'll give the rest of my time to Senator Wickersham if you'd like to have it.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Wickersham, about 2.5 minutes.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Thank you, Senator Janssen. I think my light is one next so maybe I'll use Senator Janssen's time to make a couple of comments. The Board of Educational Lands and Funds is provided for in Article VII of the Constitution. There's several provisions in the Nebraska Constitution that pertain to the board. You can start off in Section 6 and read through Section 9, and I think you'll have the meat of it. I don't know how...I don't remember all the cases. I remember reading a paper prepared by an individual I respect, a couple of years ago, but there is a clause in Article VII, Section 6, and it says, "The general management of all lands set apart for educational purposes shall be vested under the direction of the Legislature and a board of five members to be known as the Board of Educational Lands and Funds." If the general management is vested in the Board of Educational Lands and Funds, I'm not sure that we can constitutionally do what Senator Baker is suggesting. Now I know that that is ultimately up to the courts, so I don't wish to suggest that we can't do what Senator Baker is suggesting...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: ...but I am going to suggest that if you adopt Senator Baker's amendment, that we need to make some legislative history about that amendment in case it is unconstitutional. Now I understand that individuals are having a severability clause drafted for this proposal, and I certainly believe that it's appropriate to add a severability clause to the bill in any event, but particularly if Senator Baker's amendment is adopted. And Senator Baker, on my own time I'm going to ask you some questions that pertain to that severability clause. It would be my belief that your amendment is not integral and important to the other provisions in the bill and that for all purposes, your amendment should be read as standing on its own, that it is not important...