

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 19, 1999

LB 661

SENATOR BEUTLER: Is it intended to...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: It's intended to make sure it covers the stuff that I just ran through I think, yeah. I have another...I have an amendment that's coming that's a little refinement of the date definition and...

SENATOR BEUTLER: I'll await that.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Well, but I don't think it's going to change what's here. I think it's proper to question this particular definition and it's primarily a portion that came out of the original bill and out of the committee.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Thank you. I think I'll let it go at that...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...for the moment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Kristensen, you're up next.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Mr. President. I won't take too long. I can think of perhaps three or four times in the last 11 years, Senator Chambers, that you've offended me. I'm going to mark today down as one of those. I wasn't grilled in committee so obviously by reference then you consider me not to be a genius or smart guy or anywhere near competent. So I understand the message that was sent and I just want to acknowledge to you that you understand what I'm saying back to you. But there is several reasons, and I want to answer questions and don't want to take a tremendous amount of time on the committee amendments, but the committee did recognize that the original bill had a broader scope. The committee amendments have restricted that so it's easier to explain to you. It's better for me to come and say I agree with the committee amendments. I think you ought to adopt the committee amendments. And then there is an amendment coming, one of them Senator Bohlke spent a considerable amount of time on and an amendment which I have that I think probably is the only point of decision that if you're supportive of the