

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

May 19, 1999

LB 105

(AM1895 is found on page 2258 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Mr. President, and thank you, Senator Jones, for allowing me to do this. This is really a very easy amendment to understand. It is a grandfathering amendment and what it would do, it would allow that any development that's already in the process of being developed could be grandfathered and would not have to meet the income guidelines that are outlined in this bill. And we do that almost on all occasions or on a lot of occasions, anyway, with a lot of laws that we pass in this body, and that is, simply and briefly, all that it is. And I would like...I would like to answer any questions that you might have, but otherwise I think that's a pretty thorough explanation of the amendment.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Schimek. Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. President, members, and if Senator Schimek would yield for a couple of questions?

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Schimek?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes.

SENATOR SMITH: Now, when you describe the already constructed development or in the process of constructing, I understand, you know, pretty clearly. Now if something is completed and then there's a new project to add on to that, does the addition fall under the guidelines of the original structure? What...I can see where there might be some gray areas there. How would that be worked out?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I'm sorry, Senator Smith, would you repeat your question?

SENATOR SMITH: Sure. If...okay, there's an existing structure and there's...or there will be plans, perhaps, to add on to that, does that new part fall under this new statute, or would it be grandfathered?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Oh, I'm...I understand your question. I...the reason there was a little confusion, I was just checking to make