

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

May 19, 1999

LB 105

ashtray, you, because they visited you, would be presumed to have known that that person had such possession, and you would have to prove that you didn't know it. Nobody puts those kind of burdens on anybody. But that's what these housing authority people, in this model act, wanted to do. I suppose they thought of everything that could be onerous and put it in this model bill and left it up to people in the individual legislatures to go through and weed out the kind of stuff that shouldn't be there. If a member of the household did something which the housing authority didn't approve of, it could be conduct which the head of the house didn't know about, did not participate in, did not approve of, and it could have occurred away from the unit--the family can be evicted. Crazy stuff. I've read a lot about Nazi Germany. They didn't even have that kind of stuff there. But this is in America, being done by an entity which is supposed to be trying to help people who are in unfortunate circumstances. So that's what an area of my amendment would deal with also. There was a place, on page 14, where they were talking about how things would be determined, and they had stuff like rules, regulations with the consent of the housing authority and so forth. I wanted to strike all that language and say that the terms of this person being here would be pursuant to the written agreement, just like it would be with anybody else. But some of the unreasonable stuff they wanted to put in those agreements I had struck. I'm not going to go through each one of these items, because I've given you an idea of the types of things they are. But if you have questions about any one of these or several of them, I will respond. There was something in here about property that might be left when somebody is evicted, or if they were deemed to have abandoned a unit. What would happen sometimes in OHA, people who work for them would just come in and they'd take this stuff out and take it home. So I put in a provision that would require this property to be stored in a secure facility for the required amount of time, and in addition to that, in no case shall any employee or relative of an employee of the housing agency take ownership of such property. You'd think that this kind of language would not be necessary. But because of things that I have seen happen at the hands of OHA employees, they should not have this kind of authority, nobody should. The police don't even have this kind of authority. There was another item which was going to give them some kind of wide