

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 19, 1999

LB 105

Housing authorities are going to be soliciting, they are going to want to have partners to move forward with, because they are going to be more likely to receive assistance for the developments that are necessary, if they have those partners. But what we don't want is to delay projects, to delay projects, and not even have any guarantee that the projects are going to be able to go forward. Okay, somebody comes forward from the private sector and says, fine, I want to develop this. And they go ahead with the development. Then they decide that they can't make it go if they're only going to have it available to low-income individuals. So it...and so...they're a private individual. They can go ahead and make market rate availability of these units. Then they don't...the...they...nothing has been done to meet the need for low-income housing, plus the public housing authority has been taken out of the process for a period of time so that they have not been able to develop it. It just...it is just a delay. There is...this...the entire impetus of this bill is to get the private sector and public housing working together to address the needs of low-income housing. And so once that need has been determined, and once a plan has been in place, I believe that it is going to be incumbent on the public housing authority, by virtue of the way the money comes down, that they find partners, and that there is really no need to put in place this delay. It is just about a delay, it is not about anything else. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Brown. Senator Raikes, on the amendment.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you, Mr. President, members. I'm behind the curve on this quite a ways, so I'm going to ask, I think, some...some very basic questions. I'm still struggling with the...sort of the fundamental, underlying reason for the bill, or more generally the philosophy that underlies this sort of a proposal. And I'm going to ask Senator Brown to comment, but maybe she can disabuse me of some wrong notions here. As I remember, her earlier introduction of the bill talked about the following situation, that one way or another we are going to have less federal funding to provide low-income housing or, in general, housing for low and maybe other income groups, but yet we don't want to provide any more state money, at least as a governmental activity. And further, we have not and will not