

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 19, 1999

LB 105

amendment would indicate, then I have an amendment coming up that would say, fine, then just accept Section 8 vouchers. And then we're going to have people distributed throughout the community. Then we're going to truly have the private sector addressing this need, but we're also going to do it in a way that's not going to concentrate persons of poverty in one area. But I would remind you that we are talking here mostly about putting in place a mechanism, in a changing economic environment, where we can continue to provide housing for low-income individuals who are often elderly and disabled. And, with that, I would yield the rest of my time to Senator Jones.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Jones, you're recognized.

SENATOR JONES: Mr. Speaker and members of the body, I think we're trying to get off base here just a little bit. I think we got to get back to what the bill is actually doing and what it's talking about. I'm standing mainly and speaking for the rural areas of the state of Nebraska, but I think this is good policy for the whole state. I realize that the Lincoln board and the realtors are opposed to this, but this is not about Lincoln only, this is about housing for the rural areas, and I think that's what we should stay to. As far as Senator Tyson's amendment, it's requiring a study when 12 or more units are built. Well, that will directly affect my area, because 12 units, you know, would probably be right around what we'd have out there. But this mandate could cause another \$4,000 for the Public Housing Authority to do. So, hopefully, we'll reject this amendment and move on with the bill. But none of the bill, the Housing Authority has submitted a plan and a housing project to the local...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR JONES: ...governing body. These elected officials can either vote yes or no on it right now. So let's not require a mandate cost to the Housing Authority to...that we already suggesting providing housing for elderly and the low-income people now. So it's just going to add...be an added expense. So, with that, I hope that the body would oppose this mandate in Senator Tyson's amendment. Thank you.