

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 18, 1999

LB 176

think that they would live with whatever the body decided, and certainly I would, but I believe that the feeling from Game and Parks is they'd just rather not...rather not deal with it. Another comment I'd like to make is that the strength of the bill is through education. The lives that are going to be saved and the injuries that are going to be saved is going to be done because people are educated on the proper use of personal watercraft. It's not going to be because of the enforcement and getting caught doing something that the bill prohibits. That's not going to be the big stickler. There's not going to be officers on all of our waterways throughout our state, looking for people ready to break the law, using their personal watercraft. What's going to carry the day and minimize accidents is folks are going to be educated. We, hopefully, will start now and, as time goes on, more and more of the users of personal watercraft would have attended an education course. Knowing the right and the wrong, the incorrect, the unsafe, that's going to carry the day. That's going to minimize accidents and fatalities. And an argument could be made that a \$10 fee would also perhaps say, well, I guess I'd rather not take the course and I'll just take my chance today, I don't see any Game and Parks officer. So...and I understand, though, that Senator Bromm wants to minimize the fiscal impact of the bill, and it would do that to a certain extent. But I will say this regarding the fiscal note. I had included, when the Fiscal Office asked me...we have three estimates of how many students in the first and second year would participate in this...the education. I said, include all three. And on your fiscal note, you do have three numbers and the middle number is \$187,000 cost to the state the first year, \$82,000 for the next year. Now take that figure and cut it in half because 50 percent of these costs is going to come from the United States Coast Guard Recreational Boating and Safety Program. So that brings it down to \$93,000 the first year, \$41,000 the second year. Of all the millions of dollars that we've spent and debated over the last few weeks, it's not a huge amount. So with that information, you know, we don't do this for hunter safety, the Coast Guard is going to defray the cost. You know, I said I was neutral on it. I...my goal for this bill is...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: One minute.