

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 18, 1999

LB 870

refines down and makes a little more circumspect the kinds of differences that can exist, at least insofar as those differences are described as a matter of statute. It does give DEQ considerable power to look at each individual situation insofar as existing facilities are concerned, and doing what would be the right thing, from their perspective, with regard to the individual situations. So it changes a little bit the provision relating to the permitting of existing livestock waste control facilities within 100 feet of a water well. The criteria that had originally been set out in the bill were quite elaborate, without any sort of final criteria or final decision making process. That was replaced with language that simply says, you shall not issue a permit which is for a facility that's located within 100 feet of a water well if the water well is one, under separate ownership; and two, used primarily for human consumption. So it's basically narrowed to the protection of water wells that are owned by somebody else and are being used for human consumption. And that language seemed to be more agreeable to all parties involved than the current language that was in the bill. Another provision...another section of the bill that was seriously realigned had to do with requiring engineering evaluations. And that was simply put in a form which I think is much more readable, and which everybody can understand. And it now indicates that the department may require an engineering evaluation that is performed by a licensed professional engineer if, after DEQ does their inspection, they determine that the facility has visible signs of structural breakage, signs of discharge or proven discharge, improper maintenance, or inadequate capacity, or if they find...if they have reason to believe that an existing livestock waste control facility has violated or threatens to violate the Environmental Protection Act. And that language, which is a little bit broader, I think satisfies the nonproducer people a little bit better in the sense that DEQ has the prerogative to require more in those kinds of circumstances where reasonable people might think they should require more. So that worked out to everybody's satisfaction. And then, frankly, the one major concession that was made by the producer groups was to simply allow the operation of a late fee system in situations where people continue to ignore the law. And so that seems to be an agreeable compromise on that kind of an issue. As you know, we've had a situation where for years on end, and in particular