

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 18, 1999

LB 870

hearings we say no? My intent is not stopping or throwing roadblocks, certainly not. For a couple of years in Natural Resources we have spent hours and hours on this issue and I think have tried to come forward with bills that have represented a balanced perspective. Now as I said, I know that the lobby was absolutely opposed to this particular issue. And so I don't know what the success or not success of this, lack of success this is going to have. And I believe that the hearings that Senator Beutler talked about that we held in a number of communities, I believe starting in Fullerton, Bloomfield, Crete, where we had large numbers of people, and I was talking with Senator Bromm and I said they came forward and really asked some very technical questions that they then asked DEQ to answer. It wasn't just emotional testimony. They were asking about different levels that should be allowed or not allowed, what amount of phosphorus, what amount...what was the P factor, all those things, very technical questions. They wanted to understand the number of acres that should be allowed for best management practice to spread the effluent. I thought, and I think I had a number of the people tell me, that they appreciated the opportunity. Senator Bromm points out that that was a legislative committee and I agree. But what I'm getting to eventually, the difference with my amendment, is we will end up with some counties who have no zoning or no interim zoning. And in those situations, I think there are going to be very few, we will not allow any opportunity for the public to come to a public forum and hear or have the opportunity to discuss or ask questions. They may only submit written comments.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: One minute.

SENATOR BOHLKE: I believe that that goes counter to good government. It may be...it may allow the process to go forward quicker. Yes, it may slow things down. But in the end, I think we will have a process that the people of the state feel that they have had a voice, an opportunity to get information, and an opportunity to listen to both sides of a situation and come to their own conclusion. As I have said, we had people at those hearings who just sat and listened. It was their opportunity to hear both sides. I think anytime that we do not allow that in this state is a grave error. And I will continue to fight for some forum for the public to come forward, get information, ask