

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 18, 1999

LB 881

to be too pessimistic, but I know we're going to come to the time that we're going to need to have some caution and need some cash reserve. The dilemma is we can't possibly get that cash reserve up, I think, to the point that it would really be helpful in serious times. So I'm going to support the committee amendments. I believe that in spite of what we say here, if we run into serious problems in the next session or the session after that, we can make adjustments if necessary, so there is some flexibility. I do applaud the Revenue Committee for working this out in this fashion so that there is some flexibility left, at least in my mind. But I do think that it is this philosophy or policy difference that we have and I, as I talked about it, talked to different groups, I get different feedback on what we should do, whether you keep a high cash reserve so that you have it when you need it, so that you smooth out the flow of government, that it's always there for those that need it in good times and bad, and have some dependability in government? Or do you rock up and down like a yo-yo, potentially, not saying we have, but you can if you mess with revenues, lower tax rates and so forth and then, at the worst of all scenarios, have to raise taxes in bad times? And I get different feedback from groups when you talk about that. Most people, in spite of I think what Senator Tyson said, most businesses try to keep a reserve of some kind and I know some now are going through the slash and burn phase, as just announced this last week. I don't know how you get rid of that sometimes in cycles and I know different businesses have different cycles. Agriculture has cycles. Agriculture, we borrow our way through it. But it...in other places they cut drastically and you fall back. So those of economists probably can explain those cycles better than I, but I have been one to believe that if we can keep government on a firm...firm, gradual trend, that we're better off because it brings some dependability and reliability into the system that I think taxpayers, by and large, want, in spite of the fact that they say they want as much tax refund as they should. And I...I definitely agree. I never want to be quoted as saying that we shouldn't give money back to taxpayers that they're deserving. We should be balancing our rate so that it...so that we don't have a lot of hills and valleys, but it's almost impossible to do in terms of...I mean just three or four short years ago we weren't sure where we were going to get...we were seriously