

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 18, 1999

LB 881

the body. I rise for several different reasons and because I have several different questions. One of the things that we did just before lunch is we took a vote on the Chambers amendment and I wish we had talked about that just a little bit more because I am not certain I know what the impact of that amendment is going to be, Senator Chambers. And I'm not certain...yes. Thank you, Senator. The question that was in my mind before lunch and perhaps Senator Chambers would like to respond to this and so I would give him some time, but when you put it down in very specifics like that, I'm worried that it then becomes almost like an entitlement, if you will. People's expectations will be greater than if we leave it in very general terms, Senator Chambers, and that concerns me because I'm not certain that we can do that. And I do know that you can't always bind the next Legislature, but if you'd just respond.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, and, Senator Schimek, I understand the area you're going into now. The way the law would have read without my amendment is that we are giving the impression that this is an eternal program which would have created the idea of an entitlement because it's permanent. There was no cutoff date. So what my amendment said was that this, at a maximum, is not going to go beyond those three years that are mentioned, but in no year is the Legislature bound in the future to do anything and that limiting language about from excess funds, or whatever is there, would make it clear that that is not an entitlement.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, and I understand where you're coming from. I didn't necessarily oppose the amendment. I just wondered if the expectation wouldn't be there if we put it down 2001, 2002, 2003, if people won't think that's a more definite thing than it really is. But I realize the language is still in there saying, depends on what your revenues are for those years, so thank you. I also have heard some things on the floor this morning that I think should be probably reiterated, and Senator Wickersham was one who talked about this. Senator Wickersham, you were talking this morning about...I can't pronounce it, "echometric" study, (inaudible)?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Econometric.