

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 18, 1999

LB 881

would see a significant, what I would characterize as a significant reduction in the amount of money that you are paying to the community college. Whether you thought that was a significant amount of money in terms of the total property tax dollars that you were paying, which would also include K-12 schools, counties, ESUs, cemetery districts, agricultural societies, the whole...the whole group, I can't really suggest to you whether or not you'd think that, as an individual, is significant or not. But it will reduce any increase in property taxes that you might have received during the year and, of course, in some places in the state we'll probably again see property tax reductions, but it varies considerably based on decisions made by local...other local political subdivisions.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Wickersham, could we get into the...to the third section of this a little bit, and that's the third year out when we're taking \$35 million out of the Reserve Fund in order to...in order to...in order to relate to somehow the drop from \$1.10 to \$1.00 in the levy. Now, as you're aware, the Appropriations Committee has already budgeted that...budgeted prospectively that entire amount, right?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. So...and I assume that you would agree that it's everybody's intent here that that...that funding that reduction from \$1.10 to \$1.00 is intended to be a permanent reduction; that is, it's not...it's not something that can be funded out of a Reserve Fund because we intend it to be permanent. Would you agree that we all intend it to be permanent?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: That's correct.

SENATOR BEUTLER: That being the case, the money is budgeted, we intend it to be permanent, why would we take \$35...\$35 million out of the Reserve Fund anticipating that we will be short of the money to fund it when we've already planned into our budget the money to fund it?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Senator, I'm concerned that you're talking about years three and four. It really isn't in the budget as