

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 17, 1999

LB 870

and with the materials reasonably likely to prevent a discharge into the waters of the state. Having said that, Senator Schrock, let me...let me go back and ask you, with regard to intent, how this section and a related section are now intended to be interpreted with the amendment that you're putting on, assuming for the moment that the amendment is adopted? I think it should be adopted. I support the amendment. But it...this is a little broader question than my amendment itself, but I think it lends perspective to what it is that the committee is trying to do. But the general rule under subsection (2), or the general statement is that an existing livestock waste control facility, that is, one that's in existence before we passed the bill last year, the Livestock Management Control Act, shall be deemed to be in compliance with the construction requirements of the act. I wanted to focus, first of all, on the question of construction requirements and exactly what that encompassed insofar as it may or may not relate to subsection (3) on the next page. Subsection (3) says, an existing livestock waste control facility, so we're talking about the same entity, constructed in accordance with site-specific plans and specifications of the Natural Resources Conservation Service of the United States Department of Agriculture, shall be considered in compliance with the design and capacity requirements of the construction permit if the site-specific conditions are in accord with those used by the Natural Resources Conservation Service of the United States Department of Agriculture, et cetera, et cetera, to develop its plans and specifications. Subsection (2) talks about the construction requirements, subsection (3) seems to focus on a couple of those requirements, the design and capacity requirements of a construction permit. So, if...if subsection (3) is met in terms of compliance with the design and capacity requirements, do you still have to look to subsection (2)? Or how does...tell me, generally, how (2) and (3) would fit together, if amended by your amendment?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Senator Schrock, would you like to respond?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Beutler, if I'm following you correctly here, we're talking about existing facilities that were probably not constructed under the auspices of a licensed engineer. Is that safe to say? But yet they have the...