

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 17, 1999

LB 382

issue, what difference does it make to me whether this goes into law or not? If it's not going to produce the result they want, what difference does it make? Along the lines of what Senator Wickersham pointed out, I don't think it's good policy at all, especially when it's done at the behest or under the bludgeoning of the largest city in the state and when the biggest big shots are the ones insisting that it be done. Any city that has the authority to issue or seek approval of general obligation bonds can undertake to do that without any additional legislation. Omaha can do it. I passed around an article which showed how the World-Herald, in a news column, misrepresented what this bill is about. There is no guarantee that the Legislature will appropriate money out of that fund to give Omaha anything. And I will be as opposed to that appropriation, if that time ever comes that money is in that fund, as I am to this bill. There will be stronger opposition on my part, though, because right now we just have words on paper. But words on paper are how the policies of the state are put into place. So, if there is never, pursuant to this particular effort in Omaha, the successful floating of a general obligation bond issue or the acceptance of an application by this board from Omaha, the bad policy is in place. I don't know whether the language says that an application has to be submitted before that termination date in, I think, the year 2002, or if an application has to be accepted. But this is something that could go on and on and on. Once that application, whether the requirement is that it be submitted or accepted, crosses that threshold, this bad language remains a part of the law until such time as it is repealed. I think it is bad policy for the state to do it. I think it was a succumbing...you said time? Oh, I'm sorry, I misheard. Succumbing to whatever pressures were brought to bear. And I think it is a mistake...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...thank you, Madam President, for the Legislature to do this. People may go along with it now because it's the easy thing, but I think there will be a price to pay in the future. And I am not bound by anything in this bill to support any appropriation to a project which I think is ill-considered, which is bad policy when it is to be financed under the terms of a bill such as this.