

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 17, 1999

LB 382

language. We are no longer promising an end to hunger and joblessness and we're no longer promising world peace. I think we're down into sort of the realm of the realistic. Secondly, there is an E clause in here. Third, there is some parallel language, as Senator Chambers has indicated before. There are occasions in which definitions have not paralleled each other and we've tried to do that. At one point, there's an indication that joint entities could go forward with an application and Senator Chambers suggested that that occur only if they had the statutory authority to issue general obligation bonds. So we've done that adjustment as well. The provision, number five, is the one that's...that we're...that Senator Chambers is going to ask to have divided and that is the issue that he's raised before about the affirmative vote of the board. Remember that we just said a majority of the board. We do not make clear whether that was three of five, or if three members showed up, whether it was two of three, and the language I had drafted says the affirmative vote of a majority, which means at least three of the five on the approval process. I believe Senator Chambers is going to suggest to you that the Governor should be one of that three when we get a chance to decide that issue. And, by the way, I would describe that as a substantive issue, exactly the kind of thing that this body should decide and I think that's entirely legitimate debate. Subsection 6 indicates that, in fact, there are different multipliers for different kinds of economic transactions. Construction usually has one multiplier. Retail has another. Employment a third. In addition, that section indicates that my understanding of the use of the word "participants" and as I described it on General File becomes the clearer meaning for the bill, and that is the participants attending a new regional, national, or international event for which at least one-half of the participants were registered from a location outside Nebraska, so we use that language, and it also makes clear that if that's the kind of convention you're talking about and if that's the case that half of them come from outside the state, then all of the participants' spending counts towards the throwback. There is an amendment in here that was suggested by Senator Beutler and was taken up by the Omaha Chamber of Commerce and then was the basis of a letter from Don Macke to a number of my colleagues on the committee, and that was that we had a 250,000 dollar maximum on the 30 percent throwback to other projects. And that maximum is low enough