

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 17, 1999

LB 382

SENATOR SCHIMEK: But it does mean, if, for whatever reasons may be, maybe because there was just a bond election or whatever, the folks who are supporting this decide to hold off on it for awhile, then...then they're going to have to wait longer if you're limiting it to statewide. That's really the only point I guess I'm trying to raise.

SENATOR LYNCH: In other words, you're suggesting, if I can answer (sic) a question back to you.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Ce-tainly.

SENATOR LYNCH: Are you suggesting specific language that says the primary or the general election in the year 2000? Is that what you wanted?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: No, again, I don't care what you do here. It's fine. I just wanted to raise those questions to give I thought maybe possibly some different options, but I understand the reasoning on the turnout, certainly.

SENATOR LYNCH: Oh.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Okay, thank you.

SENATOR LYNCH: One of the things we tried to do when we originally agreed to introduce it and to the language was we didn't try to micromanage much of anything. We thought the cities and the local jurisdictions, who would be involved with and accept the responsibility for it because it's ultimately theirs, would try to make some decisions that would help people understand the proposal and determine where it might be and all of those kinds of things. Put all of that kind of language into the bill only complicates it and maybe specific dates and when it would be possible...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Oh, no, I don't think that would be a good idea.

SENATOR LYNCH: Oh, because the way the amendment is now,...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Okay.