

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

May 17, 1999

LB 382

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I understand that but also the other...the other side of the coin is that in a statewide election sometimes these things kind of get lost in the shuffle, too, because there are so many candidates and constitutional amendment issues. You could have more focus on it if it were a municipal election I would think.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator, ...oh...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: And I don't care one way or the other. I am sorry, go ahead.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...with the kind of promoting and wrangling back and forth on something like this, and I'm not trying to be facetious, I don't think it will be one of those that'll get lost in the shuffle.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you. Senator Lynch, do you have...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Lynch.

SENATOR LYNCH: Well,...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: ...a response?

SENATOR LYNCH: ...I agree with the language which we've already discussed. Because of the consequence and the importance of it, I'm assuming the sooner we get it on the ballot, the better. And I also agree with Senator Chambers that if we can have it at a time when most people get out and vote, and the reason they do is unfortunately in some cases the special elections and municipal elections, the ballot is so short that people don't really care about getting out and vote, if they're only going to have the chance to vote for one person or two persons. But on a general election with so many issues on the ballot, of course, it would...it would, I think, give us a chance to have more people, if they agree or don't agree, more people a chance to vote because we may get more and make it easy, my kids would say more easy, but make it easier for them to participate in this election.