

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 17, 1999

LB 382

that I don't think that is substantive which would change or alter what it is we're agreeing to now. Is that correct, Senator Landis?

SENATOR LANDIS: It would be acceptable to me. In other words, we do have a substantive difference and my guess is you want to raise that Governor issue.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR LANDIS: And you've always given us notice of that. That would seem to me as legitimate. We might use that as an amendment to an amendment...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Sure, that's fine.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...as a format.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: However way we do it is okay.

SENATOR LANDIS: Fine.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now I'd like to ask Senator Lynch a question for...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Lynch.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...clarification and understanding.

SENATOR LYNCH: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Lynch, did you understand from my conversation with Senator Landis that there might be additional types of amendments that I would offer to try to improve language, clarify what is being said, and try to find out exactly what is meant by some of this language, then we would draft language to say it like that, so that we don't have to speculate? Was it clear from what I said that there might be some of those types of amendments I would try to offer?

SENATOR LYNCH: I understood you might offer them but, honestly, I'd have to say I'm not quite sure what they are and...