

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 17, 1999

LB 382

acknowledge what Senator Kristensen says. Building on my conversations with Senator Chambers, if I could ask Senator Lynch a question on the floor, if that would be possible.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Lynch, would you yield?

SENATOR LYNCH: Yes.

SENATOR LANDIS: Dan, I'm sorry. I used up my last copy and I read it into the record but I'm not sure you heard it but it does say, and we're going to focus now for the moment on an election and we would use a general and a primary as our choices as opposed to a special election, couldn't do that, and that the vote majority would have to exceed 51 percent. Here's my question, let us imagine that amendment came up first of the other ones that were there and they were followed by amendments that cleaned up the intent, that solved some of the problems that we've had with respect to the interpretation of a few of the other sections, parallel definitions, and for the most...for the most part housekeeping matters; first, the election issues, second, the housekeeping matters, and thirdly, some open and legitimate questions of content, but not the continuation of where we are with these amendments. In the event that was our agenda, first, the election issue, second, the clean-up issue, third, any remaining interpretation questions we wanted to get to, in the event that the body saw fit to adopt that amendment or to vote on the amendment, would you be willing to live with that? Is that an acceptable amendment from your perspective? Would you vote yes? Would you vote no? But if you did vote no and got the majority of yeses, would you be still in support of the bill? Where would we be if that was...if that were the agenda?

SENATOR LYNCH: Oh, if I only knew everything that is going on in everybody else's mind, it would be easier, indeed. But a supermajority is something I really didn't like and support. I don't like the supermajority for cloture, for example, either. Everything should be a simple majority. But on the other hand, given all the rhetoric we've had and what we've listened to, I would like to think that a project of this consequence, as important as it is, not just to the state but to the city and especially for the people that live along the river like Ernie