

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

May 17, 1999

LB 382

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR LANDIS: I doubt if it was an exclusive list of the failings of the bill but it was a list of some of the places that you thought the most glaring errors of language drafting and the like.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR LANDIS: And it involved the intent section. It involved the amount of votes necessary to pass a bond issue. It also attended to a majority vote of the board being at least three votes, and there were other provisions as well. I've just had drafted an amendment. Let me read it to you because it's very short, and then let me talk about a couple of other ideas in here as well. On page 9, line 18.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm with you.

SENATOR LANDIS: Strike "a majority", this is of voters now, and insert "greater than fifty-one percent". And in line 20, after "issuance" insert "at a regular primary or general election." I wonder if that would leave us in this situation, with your understanding, the only way a body who floated bonds can make an application to come down here and get this assistance would be if they took the issue to a general or primary election only and obtained at least fifty-one percent plus one vote in affirmation of the approval of those bonds. Would you agree that that's what that language achieves?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR LANDIS: Okay. Now I want to ask you a little bit more because we're going to come back to that topic. I've drafted an amendment, it appears as AM1805. It's way below yours but it adopts word for word the intention language that you had asked...you had pointed out the shortcomings of and, by the way, I would think, as Laertes says, a touch, I confess, a touch. I think you've made that point well in debate. Secondly, you suggested that the joint entities should have bonding authorities and that language is there. Third, you said there