

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

May 17, 1999

LB 382

specified, in the statute if it is enacted?

SENATOR RAIKES: Well, I would say yes. You're talking about restricted in the sense that you wouldn't be able to use this facility, this parking facility for a nearby alternative business or something like that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right.

SENATOR RAIKES: Yes, that would be my interpretation.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Without Senator Landis here, I can't pursue it, and since this is on a reconsideration motion, I would not ask him anyway but I mention that so that when he comes back he'll be aware that I'm going to discuss it with him. Members of the Legislature, earlier one of my colleagues, our colleague, one of our colleagues had asked could this parking facility be used to alleviate the parking problem in downtown Omaha. In addition to the distance from downtown Omaha, this language indicates that it cannot be used for another purpose. Otherwise they would have said something like "to be used for this purpose, but not limited to", or something like that. But to make a flat assertion would prevent it from being used for any other purpose. Again, there is language in this statute which is going to have to be applied by a board which is going to be called upon to make decisions based upon very...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...vague, general, nonspecific information. But in addition to that, there are words in the statute whose meaning cannot be gathered from the statute itself. So this is another area where some refining or clarifying language would be appropriate. But as Senator Landis suggested earlier, it's unlikely that we'll get around to any activities of that kind. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Chambers. The question is reconsideration motion of FA158. Those in favor vote aye, those opposed nay. Please record.

CLERK: 0 ayes, 7 nays on the motion to reconsider.