

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 17, 1999

LB 382
LR 43

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...of 3. And that's when you use...I've spoken nine minutes already, Mr. President?

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: It's my understanding you opened this morning, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, I stand corrected. But in terms of understanding what is the actual quantity of 3 that is not changeable, as mathematics is supposed to be, and the actual quantity of 1, defining each item in each number in terms of the other is not going to give you that precision, that absolute. So when we have moving targets in this bill where a word seems to mean one thing in a definition and another thing when it's used, a problem exists based on the ambiguity and inconsistency.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Members, while the Legislature is in session and capable of transacting business, I propose to sign and do sign LR 43. For further discussion on the motion to reconsider, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President. There are several places in the bill where the term "participant" or the plural of that word will appear and I'm going to discuss that when I have a ten minute block of time so that I can cross-refer the sections and subsections of the bill, so that even if those in the Legislature have no interest, anybody who, for whatever reason, would want to review the debate on this bill and the specific discussion associated with specific portions of the bill, such people will be able to locate the part of the bill being discussed. I will do the same thing with the word "multiplier". In a discussion that I had with Senator Landis the other day, it was not clear to me just what that word applies to, and in speaking with Senator Raikes, whom Senator Landis discounts as a good source because Senator Raikes opposed the bill, there is not a similar view on his part to that of Senator Landis. But I'll wait until I have more time before I go into those things. What we do know, though, from this bill is that attributable revenue will be derived from construction of the facility, whatever new money is generated by that construction, itself, based on the existing definition, employment at the eligible facility, and we don't know whether