recovery on evidence submitted. In the event there's some kind of leakage or some kind of demonstrable evidence that could be presented, it seems to me there's a mechanism to allow that to happen. The bill does not require that those situations be treated the same, it doesn't, but off the top of my head the way that I would first anticipate is number of days, people from out of state, multiplier. There are elements in which those can be adjusted to capture the kind of information that you want. My guess is that some attempt will be made to draw a reasonable line and not track people, you know, submitting their receipts...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...from meals around town as to where they wound up eating. We will aggregate data.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay. Well, I just wanted to throw one thought past you. What...I understand what you're saying is that this sort of phenomena would be taken care of by some sort of a leakage assumption built into the multipliers. It might be possible to make everyone or make a convention center, for example, accommodate the leakage assumptions unless they could prove that their results were better than...than the leakage assumption. For example, we...the leakage might assume that a third of the attendees were going to stay across the river. Well, if, in fact, you could prove that the attendees at your convention...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Raikes. Senator Vrtiska, on the Chambers reconsider motion.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. I'd like to continue some discussion with Senator Landis, if he's available.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Would you respond, Senator Landis?