

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 17, 1999

LB 382

discuss how it actually operates, the only question then is whether or not the terms describing the operation are correct and accurate and clear, rather than arguing about the meaning of the key words that would be included. The third subsection of Section 3 defines the word "bond". And that would mean "a general obligation bond, a redevelopment bond, a lease-purchase bond, a revenue bond, or combination of any such bonds". Since Senator Landis is...oh, no, this is...no, this is on reconsideration. I'll ask, Senator Raikes, may I ask you a question?

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Raikes, will you yield once more for a question?

SENATOR RAIKES: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Raikes, I'm still on page 2, but I'm in subsection (3), looking at the definition of "bond".

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now when we say a lease-purchase bond, does that mean somebody else will construct this facility? Well, just what does that mean, and how would it come into play in connection with this project that we're discussing?

SENATOR RAIKES: I'd prefer that you make that a multiple choice question, Senator, rather than an essay question. Lease-purchase,...I'm not enough of a...knowledgeable on that, frankly, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, then I'll wait until I get off that and can ask somebody who might answer it. And I decided that these eight hours may as well be spent compiling a record on the bill itself instead of things that are not too (laugh) consequential. Although, I say again, this particular amendment that I'm seeking reconsideration of would be on page 1, line 9. It would strike the word "appropriate" and insert the word "adequate". But because I'm going to try to see if we can prevail on the body to rewrite that intent section, I won't linger over that, but I will use these types of amendments as an opportunity to discuss other aspects of the bill. Now, in subsection (4) of