

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

May 17, 1999

LB 382

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I was going to say, I don't even see Senator Vrtiska here today, and he was telling me, when we were discussing it, how none of the Omaha senators were defending their bill. And now he's not even here today, at least on the floor. Senator Raikes, here's the question that I want to ask you about this matter of employment. Employment is one of the things to which the multipliers...to which the applicable investment multiplier will be applied. Is that correct?

SENATOR RAIKES: Well, Senator, I don't think so. I think, the way this is to work, that you do an audit to determine how many people attended a convention, you...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But, before you go that far,...

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...would you just look at the definition of "attributable income" and see what..."revenue" and see what you think.

SENATOR RAIKES: Yeah, it does say...it does state "employment at the eligible facility" as a separate item in attributable...attributable revenue, and I'm not sure I understand why that would be in there.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So, your understanding, as a member of that committee, is that this multiplier would not be applied to employment,...

SENATOR RAIKES: That would be correct, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...or to construction, which is also in that definition.

SENATOR RAIKES: Well, now I think...I think construction is included in the employment, or excuse me, not...construction is included in attributable revenue, and there is a multiplier applied to the entire expenditure on the project, the construction expenditure,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would...would employment then be...