

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 17, 1999

LB 382

section. But at this point, I'm not offering it as a substitute to any of the amendments that I have, because I need to discuss this bill a bit more. What this amendment that is pending would do is to go to the present intent language. And instead of saying "facilities of appropriate size", it would substitute the word "adequate". I think when we're talking about size, we mean an amount of space sufficient to accommodate the number of people likely to attend one of these events. And, as I was saying earlier, by way of an overview, the types of events that will be considered are those which bring at least 50 percent of the participants from outside of Nebraska, and it would be the type of event which had not taken place anywhere in Nebraska during the three years preceding construction of this facility. The bill is very convoluted, it is complicated, and it is in some ways devious. I believe there remain contradictions and inconsistencies in the language of the bill as drafted. And some of the inconsistencies are in crucial locations, because they are found in definitional sections. When you define something in statute, that definition provides the basis for interpreting and construing everything in that statute. That is why we generally will introduce a definitional section by saying "for purposes of this act", the following words will have the following meaning. And when the definition that's given is narrower than that same item discussed within the body of the bill, an inconsistency exists, and those kinds of things ought to be corrected. I have some amendments that would do some of that, but not all of it, because it is far too difficult. But this is one of those areas where we could make this minor adjustment which would take us on the path of having this intent language say what ought to be said. At some point I will offer this rewrite. And before I actually offer it, I will discuss it, compare it or contrast it to what exists right now and explain why I would rewrite it, or why I believe it ought to be rewritten. This particular amendment is rather inconsequential, but it's for the purpose of permitting discussion. I would like to talk about additional aspects of this bill to show difficulties. On page 2 of the bill, in line 8, of the version of AM1377 that I have, it would be the first subsection of Section 3, it defines "attributable revenue", and this is the definition that's given, "Attributable revenue means state tax"...