

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

May 14, 1999

LB 216

temporary, or tentative yellow flag, meaning, you can go ahead with it, but I'm not sure, because I haven't checked with everybody. So go ahead. And we adopted an amendment that says, Workers' Comp judges will be treated like district judges and juvenile judges for when their pay raise goes into effect, because they're all of the same pay scale, of the same step, if you will, in the program, and the trigger ought to work for all of them. Well, the Chief Justice checked with the rest of the members of the Supreme Court and the Appeals Court as well, and somebody in the least dangerous branch of government, as Alexander Hamilton once called it, one of the members of a court someplace said, gosh, you know, there'll be a moment, since our increases will go into effect statutorily like a year and a half, if there's a vacancy, and I think there will be, the judges on these courts will make more money than we will for about a year and a half. Well, sorry. Excuse me? So that brought the Chief Justice back to the Workers' Comp court, and I'm now doing the backpedaling. I'm asking to take off a perfectly sensible amendment to a bill. But I will say this. We don't have to leave it being in the rather nonsensical place it is, because the executive, I'm sorry, the judicial branch is planning to adopt, or ask this body to adopt, clear rules for all courts as to when pay raises go into effect, and have the trigger be the same for everybody. So we'll get there. It's going to take us a couple of years to get there, and we'll have to have some action on the behalf of the judiciary later on and in a future Legislature. Until now, we need to observe the sensitivity in the judicial branch of government by not allowing certain classes of judges to be paid more than other classes of judges who are higher in the pyramid of the judicial system. In fact, if I didn't think we were going to solve this problem in the future, I wouldn't ask you to do this. But there is in this branch of government a rather good deal of concern about the pecking order, and I will honor that as well. And that is the complete story. Usually, we do an amendment like that by sort of just skirting around the issue and not really telling you what's going on. But that's the real story as to why I'm asking for this amendment. And I, in fact, would ask to take the amendment off so that Senator Vrtiska will have the bill in the form that he asked for originally, and that I have not run afoul of the sensitivities of the judicial branch with the amendment that I had previously asked for. I ask for the adoption,