

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

May 14, 1999

LR 43

sometimes it's necessary that happen, especially in certain weather conditions. So it would take that possibility away from us. We think that the 500 foot buffer zone and restricted area around all fumigated structures, some of the problems...one of the problems with the fumigant is that it's not a problem, it's not toxic to people. And I think that they're being overprotective, and this is what the grain and feed people believe, too. Another one that's burdensome is notifying local residents when it really isn't necessary, and most of those are notified, anyway. So, I don't know, do you have any other questions, Senator Beutler?

SENATOR BEUTLER: I'm unfamiliar with the issue, and so I was just interested in what exactly they were proposing to do.

SENATOR DIERKS: Well, they're proposing...

SENATOR BEUTLER: And as I understand it, it's not necessarily...is it...is it the additional regulations are all objected to because of the apparent lack of science behind the whole drive to do this? Or is it that there are certain ones that, notwithstanding some science, constitute nonetheless burdensome regulations?

SENATOR DIERKS: I...I think that...

SENATOR BEUTLER: I mean, it...

SENATOR DIERKS: ...a little bit of all of that. But the thing that they're concerned about is the...is the fact that they may take the use of it away from us altogether. And it's the only thing that's available to do this now.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: One minute.

SENATOR DIERKS: It's the only fumigant we have that is effective.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Um-huh.

SENATOR DIERKS: And the industry can't understand why they would try to limit the use of it, because it could be very...