

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 13, 1999

LB 637

funds to counties which are not in compliance with this section. Otherwise you would have to say, leaving out the part referring to the counties, the Title IV-D Division may withhold IV-D funds to a district court which are not in compliance. In other words, either it's the court which is not in compliance or it's the counties. As has been pointed out, a district court has jurisdiction within the county where it is situated. So you wouldn't have...there's no need to have...make counties plural and district court singular. They don't hang together. So I'm going to have to ask a question of the Speaker.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Kristensen.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Kristensen, which entity is deemed not to be in compliance in this sentence, the county itself or the district court?

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: It's the district court who would have to be in compliance.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then we should change, in line 7, the word "are" to "is", so that it's clear.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: You could do that. There's another...there is another alternative way that I could write that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: The key is that the funds get paid to the counties, and so what you're doing is withholding monies to the counties where their district courts aren't in compliance with the section.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then why don't they say the division may withhold IV-D funds from any county?

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: ...whose district court is not in compliance with this section,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: ...or some variation of that.